# Flexible formulae of arithmetic

Rasmus Blanck

Philosophy, Linguistics and Theory of Science Gothenburg University

> June 18, 2014 JAF33

## Theorem (Kripke, 1962)

If T is an r.e., consistent extension of Q, then there is a  $\Sigma_n$ -formula  $\gamma(x)$  that is flexible for  $\Sigma_n$  in the following sense: For each  $\Sigma_n$ -formula  $\delta(x)$ , the theory  $T + \forall x(\gamma(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x))$  is consistent.

#### Theorem (Model-theoretic version)

If T is an r.e., consistent extension of Q, then there is a  $\Sigma_n$ -formula such that  $\mathbb{N} \models \forall x \neg \gamma(x)$ , and for each  $\Sigma_n$ -formula  $\delta(x)$ , there is a model  $\mathcal{M} \models T$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x(\gamma(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x))$ .

## Theorem (Woodin, 2011)

There is a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\psi(x)$  such that

- for each countable model M of PA, and for each δ(x) such that M ⊨ ∀x(ψ(x) → δ(x)), and the M-extension of δ(x) is M-finite, there is an end-extension N ⊨ PA of M such that N ⊨ ∀x(ψ(x) ↔ δ(x)).

## Theorem (Enayat-Shavrukov, 2012, unpublished)

- There is a  $\Sigma_1$ -formula  $\psi(x)$  such that

  - for each <u>countable</u> model  $\mathcal{M}$  of PA, and for each  $\delta(x)$  such that  $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x(\psi(x) \rightarrow \delta(x))$ , and the  $\mathcal{M}$ -extension of  $\delta(x)$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -finite, there is an end-extension  $\mathcal{N} \models \mathsf{PA}$  of  $\mathcal{M}$  such that  $\mathcal{N} \models \forall x(\psi(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x))$ .

- T is an r.e., consistent extension of IΣ<sub>1</sub>.
- Sat<sub>Σn</sub> is a satisfaction predicate for (binary) Σ<sub>n</sub>-formulae, such that T ⊢ Sat<sub>Σn</sub>(<sup>¬</sup>φ<sup>¬</sup>, x, y) ↔ φ(x, y).
- Sel{ $\phi$ } is a formula minimising the witness for  $\phi$ , so that  $T \vdash \exists z \phi(z) \rightarrow \exists ! z \operatorname{Sel} \{\phi\}(z).$
- The *i*th (unary) partial recursive function f<sub>i</sub> is the function with graph defined by Sat<sub>Σ1</sub>(i, x, y).
- R(x, y, z) is the formula  $Sel{Sat}_{\Sigma_1}(x, y, z)$ , which strongly represents a universal machine.

# Lemma (Kripke, 1962)

There is an index e such that for each k, the theory T + R(e, e, k) is consistent.

#### Proof.

Let e be the index of the function f defined by

$$f(n) = k \text{ iff } T \vdash \neg R(n, n, k).$$

Suppose  $T \vdash \neg R(e, e, k)$ . Then f(e) = k, so  $T \vdash R(e, e, k)$ , contradicting the consistency of T. Hence T + R(e, e, k) is consistent.

Let  $\gamma(x) := \exists z(R(e, e, z) \land \operatorname{Sat}_{\Sigma_n}(z, x))$ , and let  $\delta(x)$  be any  $\Sigma_n$ -formula.  $T + R(e, e, \lceil \delta \rceil)$  is consistent, so  $T + \forall x(\gamma(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x))$  is consistent.

#### Theorem

There is a  $\Sigma_n$ -formula  $\gamma(x)$  such that for each model  $\mathcal{M}$  of  $T + \operatorname{Con}(T)$ ,

- If or each Σ<sub>n</sub>-formula δ(x), there is an end-extension N ⊨ T of M such that N ⊨ ∀x(γ(x) ↔ δ(x)).

#### Lemma

There is an index e such that

$$T \vdash \forall y (\operatorname{Con}(T) \to \neg R(e, e, y)),$$

 $T \vdash \forall y (\operatorname{Con}(T) \to \operatorname{Con}(T + R(e, e, y)).$ 

Let  $\gamma(x) := \exists z (R(e, e, z) \land \operatorname{Sat}_{\Sigma_n}(z, x)).$ 

- By (1), if  $\mathcal{M} \models \operatorname{Con}(\mathcal{T})$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \models \forall x \neg \gamma(x)$ .
- By (2), if  $\mathcal{M} \models \operatorname{Con}(T)$ , then  $\mathcal{M} \models \operatorname{Con}(T + R(e, e, \lceil \delta \rceil))$ .
- By the Low Arithmetised Completeness Theorem, there is an end-extension N ⊨ T of M such that N ⊨ R(e, e, ¬δ¬).

• Hence 
$$\mathcal{N} \models \forall x(\gamma(x) \leftrightarrow \delta(x)).$$

- Blanck, R. *Two consequences of Kripke's lemma*, unpublished manuscript.
- Enayat, A. On a theorem of Woodin, private communication.
- Kripke, S. (1962) "Flexible" predicates of formal number theory in Proceedings of the AMS, Vol. 13, No. 4, pp. 647–650.
- Shavrukov, V. Yu. *On risks of accruing assets against increasingly better advice*, private communication.
- Woodin, H. (2011) A potential subtlety concerning the distinction between determinism and nondeterminism in Infinity, New Research Frontiers, eds. Heller & Woodin.