

Recursive saturation: a valuation theoretic approach

Paola D'Aquino

Seconda Universita' di Napoli

Goteborg, 17 June 2014

joint work with Salma Kuhlmann and Karen Lange

Algebraic characterizations of κ -saturation of

- **ODAG** = ordered divisible Abelian groups
(by S. Kuhlmann in 1990)
- **RCF** = real closed fields
(by F.-V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, Marshall, Zekavat 2002)

are known.

Goal: algebraic characterization of recursive saturation for ordered divisible abelian groups and for real closed fields.

Ordered Divisible Abelian Groups

DEFINITION

$(G, +, 0, <)$ is an ordered divisible Abelian group if $(G, +, 0)$ is a divisible Abelian group and $<$ is compatible with $+$

Examples: $(\mathbb{Q}, +, 0, <)$, any ordered \mathbb{Q} -vector space.

ODAG admits elimination of quantifiers in the language of ordered groups.

Valuation theory notions: ODAG

Let $(G, +, 0, <)$ be a divisible ordered Abelian group.

$|x| = \max\{-x, x\}$ for all $x \in G$. For nonzero $x, y \in G$ we define

$$x \sim y \quad \text{if there exist } n \in \mathbb{N} \quad \text{s.t. } n|x| \geq |y| \quad \text{and} \quad n|y| \geq |x|.$$

\sim is an equivalence relation. The quotient set

$\Gamma = G - \{0\} / \sim = \{[x] : x \in G - \{0\}\}$ is the value set of G .

Γ is endowed with a linear order defined as

$$[x] < [y] \quad \text{iff} \quad n|y| < |x| \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}$$

The map $v: G \rightarrow \Gamma \cup \{\infty\}$ defined by $v(0) := \infty$ and $v(x) = [x]$ if $x \neq 0$ is a valuation on G , i.e., for every $x, y \in G$, $v(x) = \infty$ if and only if $x = 0$, $v(nx) = v(x)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}^\times$, and $v(x + y) \geq \min\{v(x), v(y)\}$. v is the natural valuation on G

Definition

Let $[x]$ be in the value set Γ . The *archimedean component associated to $[x]$* , $A_{[x]}$, is the maximal archimedean subgroup of G containing x .

Calculating $A_{[x]}$

If $y \in [x]$ for $[x] \in \Gamma$, we set

$$\frac{y}{x} = \sup\{r \in \mathbb{Q} \mid rx < y\} \text{ and}$$
$$A_{[x],x} = \left\{ \frac{y}{x} \mid y \in [x] \right\} \cup \{0\} \subseteq (\mathbb{R}, +, 0, <).$$

Then, $A_{[x]} \cong A_{[x],x}$.

Example Let $G = \{a + bx \mid a \in \mathbb{Q} \ \& \ b \in \mathbb{R}\}$. Then

$(G, +, 0 <)$, where $x \gg \mathbb{R}$ is a DOAG.

The value set is:

$$\Gamma = \{[1], [x]\}$$

The Archimedean components are:

$$A_{[1]} \cong \mathbb{Q} \quad \& \quad A_{[x]} \cong \mathbb{R}$$

Theorem (S. Kuhlmann 1990)

A divisible ordered abelian group G is \aleph_0 -saturated iff

- (i) the value set Γ of G is a dense linear order without endpoints, and
- (ii) all archimedean components $A_{[x]}$ of G are isomorphic to \mathbb{R} .

DEFINITION

Let L be a computable language and \mathcal{A} an L -structure. \mathcal{A} is *recursively saturated* if for any computable set of L -formulas $\Gamma(\bar{u}, x)$, for all tuples \bar{a} in \mathcal{A} with $|\bar{a}| = |\bar{u}|$, if every finite subset of $\Gamma(\bar{a}, x)$ is satisfied in \mathcal{A} , then $\Gamma(\bar{a}, x)$ is satisfied in \mathcal{A} .

In other words, a structure \mathcal{M} is recursively saturated if there are no recursive counterexample to ω -saturation.

- $(\mathbb{N}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ is not recursively saturated because of the type $\{x > n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$.
- For the same reason $(\mathbb{R}, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ is not recursively saturated.

- For each \mathcal{A} there is \mathcal{A}^* such that $\mathcal{A} \preceq \mathcal{A}^*$, $|\mathcal{A}| = |\mathcal{A}^*|$, and \mathcal{A}^* is recursively saturated.
- Any non standard model of Peano Arithmetic has a *certain amount* of recursive saturation: there are no recursive counterexample to ω -saturation of bounded complexity.

THEOREM (Barwise-Schlipf)

Suppose \mathcal{A} is countable and recursively saturated. Let Γ be a recursive set of sentences involving some new symbols. If Γ in the language of \mathcal{A} is consistent with \mathcal{A} , then \mathcal{A} can be expanded to \mathcal{A}' satisfying Γ . Moreover, \mathcal{A}' can be chosen recursively saturated.

Scott sets

Definition

- $\mathcal{T} \subset 2^{<\omega}$ is a *tree* if $(\forall \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}) [\sigma \prec \alpha \in \mathcal{T} \implies \sigma \in \mathcal{T}]$.
- $f \in 2^\omega$ is a *path* through tree \mathcal{T} if

$$(\forall \sigma \in 2^{<\omega}) [\sigma \prec f \implies \sigma \in \mathcal{T}].$$

Definition

A nonempty set $S \subset \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$ is a *Scott set* if

- 1 S is computably closed, i.e., if $r_1, r_2 \in S$ and $r \leq_T r_1 \oplus r_2$ then $r \in S$.
- 2 If $\mathcal{T} \subset 2^{<\omega}$ is infinite and $\mathcal{T} \leq_T r \in S$, then \mathcal{T} has a path computable in some $r' \in S$.

Example: Let \mathcal{A} is a nonstandard model of PA , and $a \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$A_a = \{n \in \omega : \mathcal{A} \models p_n|a\}$$

is the subset of \mathbb{N} coded by a in \mathcal{A} . Let $SS(\mathcal{A}) = \{A_a : a \in \mathcal{A}\}$

- 1 $SS(\mathcal{A})$ is computably closed, i.e., if $r_1, r_2 \in SS(\mathcal{A})$ and $r \leq_T r_1 \oplus r_2$ for some $r \in \mathbb{R}$, then $r \in SS(\mathcal{A})$.
- 2 for any infinite subtree T of $2^{<\omega}$ s.t. $T \in SS(\mathcal{A})$, there is a path in $SS(\mathcal{A})$

1+2 say that $SS(\mathcal{A})$ is a Scott set.

Can extend the notion of coded set also to a real closed field \mathcal{R} .

PROPOSITION

Let \mathcal{M} be a non standard model of PA . Then \mathcal{M} is Σ_n -recursively saturated for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

LEMMA

Let \mathcal{A} be a nonstandard model of PA .

- 1 For any tuple \bar{a} in \mathcal{A} , and any $n \in \omega$, the Σ_n type of \bar{a} (with no parameters) is in $SS(\mathcal{A})$.
- 2 For any n , if $\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{w})$ is a consistent set of Σ_n -formulas belonging to $SS(\mathcal{A})$ and every finite subset of $\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{a})$ is satisfied in \mathcal{A} , then $\Gamma(\bar{x}, \bar{a})$ is satisfied in \mathcal{A} .

The proofs use partial satisfaction classes, i.e. satisfaction classes for Σ_n -formulas.

Scott characterization. A countable Scott set is the collection of subsets of ω coded in some nonstandard model of Peano Arithmetic.

Knight and Nadel characterization A Scott set of cardinality \aleph_1 is the collection of subsets of ω coded in some nonstandard model of Peano Arithmetic.

Under CH, all Scott sets arise as collections of subsets of ω coded in nonstandard models of Peano Arithmetic.

Definition

Let L be a computable language and $S \subset P(\omega)$. An L -structure M is S -saturated if

- 1 every type realized in M is computable from some $s \in S$, and
- 2 if $\tau(x, \vec{y})$ is computable in some $s \in S$ and \vec{m} is a tuple in M such that $\tau(x, \vec{m})$ is finitely satisfiable in M , then $\tau(x, \vec{m})$ is realized in M .

Scott sets are intimately connected with recursively saturated models in the following sense.

PROPOSITION

Let L be a computable language. An L -structure M is recursively saturated if and only if M is S -saturated for some Scott set S .

Theorem (Harnik & Ressayre, D'A. Kuhlmann & Lange JSL 2014)

A divisible ordered abelian group G is recursively saturated iff

- (i) the value set of G is a dense linear order without endpoints, and
- (ii) all archimedean components $A_{[x],x}$ of G equal a common Scott set S .

sketch of the proof: (\Rightarrow) (i) Let $g, g' \in G$ such that $g, g' > 0$ and $v(g) < v(g')$. The partial type

$$\beta(x, g, g') = \{ng' < x \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{x < ng \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$$

is computable and finitely satisfiable (since $v(g) < v(g')$ and G is divisible). By recursive saturation, there is some $h \in G$ such that h realizes $\beta(x, g, g')$ in G . Hence, $v(g) < v(h) < v(g')$. A similar argument shows that Γ has no greatest or least element.

(ii) Since G is recursively saturated, G is S -saturated for some Scott set S . Let $g \in G - \{0\}$ then $A_{[g],g} = S$. For any real r , the partial type $\delta_r(x, y)$ consisting of the formulas

$$qy < x < q'y \text{ for all } q, q' \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ where } q < r < q'$$

has the same Turing degree as r .

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} G \text{ is } S\text{-saturated} \\ G \text{ is divisible} \\ S \text{ is computably closed} \end{array} \right. \Rightarrow$$

$r \in S$ iff the type $\delta_r(x, g)$ is realized in G iff $r \in A_{[g],g}$.
Hence, $A_{[g],g} = S$.

A set $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\} \subset G$ is called *valuation independent* if for all $q_1, \dots, q_n \in \mathbb{Q}$,

$$v(\sum q_i g_i) = \min\{v(g_i) \mid q_i \neq 0\}.$$

A \mathbb{Q} -basis $\{g_1, \dots, g_n\}$ for G is called a *valuation basis* if it is valuation independent.

THEOREM (Brown)

Every valued vector space of countable dimension admits a valuation basis.

(\Leftarrow) Let $\tau(v, \bar{w})$ be a recursive type, $\bar{g} \in G$, and $\tau(v, \bar{g})$ finitely satisfiable in G . The divisible subgroup generated by \bar{g} has a valuation basis, and this is used to show that there is a completion of $\tau(v, \bar{w}) \cup tp_{\bar{g}}(\bar{w})$ in S which is still finitely satisfiable in G , hence realized in some extension of G .

\mathfrak{o} -minimality of ODAG implies that the type reduces to a cut.

REMARK

Let S be a Scott set and Γ a dense linear order without endpoints. The Hahn group $G = \bigoplus_{\Gamma} S$ is an example of a recursively saturated divisible ordered abelian group. Hence, every Scott set S appears as the Archimedean component of a recursively saturated divisible ordered abelian group.

For countable groups, these are the only examples:

COROLLARY

A countable divisible ordered abelian group G is recursively saturated if and only if G is isomorphic to $\bigoplus_{\mathbb{Q}} S$ for some countable Scott set S .

DEFINITION

A real closed field is a model of the theory of the ordered field of real numbers in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{+, \cdot, 0, 1, <\}$.

RCF admits elimination of quantifiers, and it is o-minimal, i.e. the 1-definable (with parameters) sets are finite unions of intervals and points.

THEOREM (D'A, Knight and Starchenko)

A countable real closed field R is recursively saturated if and only if it has an integer part which is a model of Peano Arithmetic.

An *integer part* is a discretely ordered subring I such that 1 is the least positive element, and for each $x \in R$, there is some $i \in I$ such that $i \leq x < i + 1$.

Valuation theory notions: RCF

If $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$ is a RCF then it has a natural valuation associated which is the natural valuation associated to the divisible ordered abelian group $(R, +, 0, <)$.

On the value set $v(R^\times / \sim)$ can define a group structure by setting

$$v(x) + v(y) = v(xy) \text{ for all } x, y \in v(R^\times).$$

The value set, equipped with this addition, is a divisible ordered abelian group.

DEFINITION

- Let λ be an infinite ordinal. A sequence $(a_\rho)_{\rho < \lambda}$ contained in R is *pseudo Cauchy* if for every $\rho < \sigma < \tau < \lambda$

$$v(a_\sigma - a_\rho) < v(a_\tau - a_\sigma).$$

- Let $S = (a_\rho)_{\rho < \lambda}$ be a pseudo Cauchy sequence. An element $x \in R$ is *pseudo limit* of S if

$$v(x - a_\rho) = v(a_{\rho+1} - a_\rho)$$

for all $\rho < \lambda$.

Note that if $(a_\rho)_{\rho < \lambda}$ is a pseudo Cauchy sequence then for all $\rho < \sigma < \lambda$, $v(a_\sigma - a_\rho) = v(a_{\rho+1} - a_\rho)$.

Valuation theory notions: RCF

$R_v = \{r \in R : v(r) \geq 0\}$ is the valuation ring of R , i.e. the finite elements of R

$\mu_v = \{r \in R : v(r) > 0\}$ is the maximal ideal of R , i.e. the infinitesimal elements of R

$k = R_v/\mu_v$ is the residue field of R , it is an archimedean real closed field, so isomorphic to subfield of \mathbb{R}

THEOREM (F.V. Kuhlmann, S. Kuhlmann, Marshall, Zekavat)

Real closed field R is \aleph_0 -saturated iff

- (i) Value group G is \aleph_0 -saturated,
- (ii) Residue field $k \cong \mathbb{R}$,
- (iii) every pseudo Cauchy sequence in any subfield $R' \subset R$ of finite transcendence degree over \mathbb{Q} has a pseudo limit in R .

Question

What kinds of pseudo Cauchy sequences must have pseudo limits in the recursively saturated case?

THEOREM (D'A., Kuhlmann, Lange, JSL 2014)

Let R be a RCF. Then R is recursively saturated iff there is a Scott set S s.t.

- (i) G is recursively saturated with archimedean components all equal to S ,
- (ii) $(k, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <) \cong (S, +, \cdot, 0, 1, <)$,
- (iii) every pseudo Cauchy sequence *of length ω* in any subfield $R' \subset R$ of finite transcendence degree over \mathbb{Q} that is *computable in an element of S* has a pseudo limit in R .
- (iv) every type realized by some n -tuple \bar{a} in R is computable in an element of S .

Definition

Let $\bar{d} \in R$. A sequence $(a_i)_{i < \omega} \subset RC(\bar{d})$ is *computable in* $r \in \mathbb{R}$ over \bar{d} if there is an r -computable sequence of formulas $(\theta_i(x, \bar{y}))_{i < \omega}$ such that $\theta_i(x, \bar{d})$ defines a_i in R .

Condition (iv) does not follow from the other three conditions as witnessed by the following example of D. Marker.

Let S be a countable Scott set. The Hahn group $G = \bigoplus_{\mathbb{Q}} S$ is recursively saturated. Then, $R = S((G))$ satisfies the first three conditions listed in the above Theorem, but R realizes 2-types of arbitrary complexity. Let $f \in 2^\omega$, and let $a = \sum_{n < \omega} f(n)t^{ng}$ for some $g \in G$ with $g > 0$. Then, f is computable in the complete type $\delta(x, y)$ of (a, g) . So, R does not satisfy (iv).

THEOREM (Dolich, Knight, Lange & Marker)

Given a Scott set S there is a recursively saturated real closed field R such that S is the residue field of R .

This is constructed as a union of elementary chain.

Definition

Let L be a computable language and $S \subset P(\omega)$. An L -structure M is *S -saturated* if

- 1 every type realized in M is computable from some $s \in S$, and
- 2 if $\tau(x, \bar{y})$ is computable in some $s \in S$ and \bar{m} is a tuple in M such that $\tau(x, \bar{m})$ is finitely satisfiable in M , then $\tau(x, \bar{m})$ is realized in M .

Can always find an elementary extension where a finitely satisfiable type is realized. We have to ensure that when we add the elements realizing the types we do not destroy 1) of S -saturation, i.e. the following lemma is needed

LEMMA

Let R_0 be a real closed field and assume that 1) holds, i.e. for every tuple \bar{a} in R_0 $tp_{\bar{a}}(\bar{v}) \in S$. Let $\tau(v, \bar{w}) \in S$ and $\bar{b} \in R_0$. Assume that $\tau(v, \bar{b})$ is finitely satisfiable in R_0 , and let a realize $\tau(v, \bar{b})$ in an extension of R_0 . Then for all $\bar{c} \in R_0$, $tp_{a, \bar{c}}(v, \bar{u})$ belongs to S .

We want an algorithm with oracles in S for deciding whether $R_0(a)^{rc} \models \varphi(a, \bar{c})$. By \mathcal{o} -minimality the set defined by $\varphi(v, \bar{c})$ is finite union of intervals (with endpoints determined by terms in \bar{c}), and so a is in one of these. By questioning the complete types of the tuples \bar{b}, \bar{c} we can answer this question in S

THEOREM (Dolich, Knight, Lange & Marker)

Given a Scott set S there is a recursively saturated model \mathcal{M} of *Presburger Arithmetic* such that S is the set of subsets of \mathbb{N} which are coded in \mathcal{M} .